

## II. REMARKS

### A. Introduction

In this Office Action claims 1-20 are noted as pending and are rejected.

In this Response, a terminal disclaimer is filed, and remarks are provided.

### B. Rejection of Claims Based on "Double Patenting"

The pending claims are rejected based on obviousness-type double patenting over claims of Applicant's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,475,962 or 6,610,635 .

Attached is a Terminal Disclaimer and the appropriate fee, which should overcome this rejection.

## III. CONCLUSION

In light of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that claims 1-20 are now in allowable condition.

If there are any additional fees associated with this Response, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this Response, the undersigned would appreciate a telephone conference with the Examiner to attend to these matters.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

9/13/04

By: \_\_\_\_\_



William F. Herbert  
Registration No. 31,024

1201 New York Ave., Suite 700  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) 434-1500